

**IAP20 Rec'd PCT/PRO 08 FEB 2006****RADIO NETWORK COMMUNICATION SYSTEM AND PROTOCOL****5 TECHNICAL FIELD**

This invention relates to a network of devices which communicate with each other via radio frequency.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

10 A network of devices can be created by arranging a group of devices which can communicate with each other via radio frequency (RF) means to transmit data between the devices. Provided that each of the devices is within the range of the maximum communications range of each device, each device can effectively communicate with each other device in the network.

15

In many such networks, the devices may all be transceivers, ie are each capable of both transmitting and receiving. For the purpose of transferring an item of data, one device acts predominantly as a transmitter while other devices act predominantly as receivers.

20

The present invention is to be used in a "point to multipoint" system as opposed to a "point to point" system in which communications occur between only two devices at a time. In a point to multipoint communication system, communications occur between one device and two or more of the other devices in the network  
25 simultaneously.

A reliable "point to multipoint" communication system allows the creation of a shared network variable. This is a variable which is known to all of the devices in the network. For example, if one device wants to change the value of the shared  
30 network variable, it must transmit a request and be guaranteed that all devices receive and process the updated variable simultaneously. If the update cannot be

made simultaneously, or not all other devices in the network receive the update, then the network does not have a shared network variable.

Shared network variables allow the creation of a network which has no central controller. All of the essential data about the operation and control of the network is known by each device in the network simultaneously. The data can be updated by any device in the network at any time and all other devices are guaranteed to update their data accordingly. This allows the control of devices within the network to be simplified, more flexible, and less costly when compared with networks having a central controller.

A single communication action between each of the devices is herein referred to as a Transaction. A Transaction occurs between a device (transceiver/transmitter) which transmits data to one or more transceiver/receivers of the data. The Transaction also includes data sent from the transceiver/receivers to the transceiver/transmitter as well as to each other of the transceiver/receiver devices in the network. In this context, a device which for a given Transaction, transmits the data to be shared throughout the network is referred to as a "transceiver/transmitter" while a device which receives that data within the Transaction, is referred to as a transceiver/receiver. It will be understood that within the same Transaction, a given transceiver/receiver may also transmit an acknowledge signal. In the next Transaction, the transceiver/receiver may become the transceiver/transmitter. When transmitting to more than one transceiver/receiver simultaneously (also known as a broadcast or multicast), it is important to know that all transceiver/receivers have successfully received the data. If even one transceiver/receiver has not successfully received the data (for example because of a bit error causing data corruption in one transceiver/receiver), then all other transceiver/receivers must be informed that not all of the other transceiver/receivers have successfully received the data.

Such networks can also optionally use a transmission system with dominant and inferior bits. This means that if there is a conflict, and two devices simultaneously transmit a dominant and inferior bit, then when monitoring the communication medium, each device will see the transmission of the dominant bit. The device 5 transmitting the inferior bit knows that there has been a conflict and can take whatever action is appropriate. For example, this may mean the cessation of all further transmissions.

In conventional point to point communication protocols, a general procedure is to 10 have each device transmit an acknowledge statement some time after receipt of the data. This has the drawback that the transmitting device must know exactly the number of receiving devices within the network, and how to contact each of them. The reliable transfer of the same piece of data to multiple receiving devices requires many transmissions of the same data, and a corresponding wait for each 15 transmission to be acknowledged. The repetitive transmission of the same data to many recipients wastes the available bandwidth of the communication medium. This approach also requires the transmitter to obtain and store data about exactly which receiving devices are to accept a given transmission. This approach allows the creation of a shared network variable, at the expense of unnecessary complexity and 20 poor use of the available bandwidth of the communication medium.

Alternatively a point to multipoint transmission can be used to transfer data to many recipients simultaneously, without any acknowledgment being returned. This renders the data transfer unreliable, and the transmitter will not be able to determine 25 whether all of the receiving devices have successfully received the data. Unreliable transfer of data means that a shared network variable cannot be created.

In such systems it is also necessary to be able to accurately determine a time or point in a data transmission where the type of data changes (for example a change from a 30 control data transmission timeslot to an acknowledge timeslot).

Many well-known communication protocols are asynchronous and character based. The best example is the widely used serial RS/EIA-232 port.

Such protocols can be used, with difficulty, to transmit varying and fixed time portions. However, it is important that the asynchronous interface component (referred to as a Universal Asynchronous Receiver Transmitter, or UART) have well characterised timing and delay characteristics, and that those characteristics remain invariant. For example, any variation (for whatever reason) to the inter-character gap time would be catastrophic, because it would mean that different devices would fix different times for the same critical point. There would not be a common time reference for the start of the fixed time slots.

Character based protocols should be able to carry any information item that fits into the designated character size. This conflicts with the need to have some means of signalling important points in the transmission, especially the end of the variable portion. This conflict arises because in the character based protocol, some of the characters need to take on a special meaning in order to signal the important point. This means that the special character cannot be used for data. The combined disadvantages of the asynchronous character-based protocol make it very difficult to use when a fixed time relationship is to be maintained between a variable and fixed time portion.

An alternative is to consider the protocol as a stream of bits, organised into characters by grouping (in other words, overlaying the character structure back over the bit stream). Each character can be inspected to see if it is significant or not. When a data item is to be transmitted which looks the same as a significant character, extra bits can be inserted to change it. The receiving device recognises the patterns of extra bits, and removes them, restoring the original character. By performing the recognition of the special characters at the correct point in the decoding path, both data and special characters can be separated. This is known as a bit-stuffing, and is

widely used in protocols like HDLC. Bit-stuffing however, can be complicated and processing intensive.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a system and protocol for improving the communications between devices in an RF point to multipoint

5     communications system.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

According to a first aspect of the present invention, there is provided a communications protocol for use in a network of devices, the protocol having a

10   frame including a first time slot for transmitting data, a second time slot, after the first time slot, for transmitting a first acknowledgement state, and a third time slot, after the second time slot, for transmitting a second acknowledgement state.

Preferably, the first acknowledgement state is a positive acknowledge, and the second

15   acknowledgment state is a negative acknowledge.

Preferably, the first time slot is variable in length and the second and third time slots are fixed in length. Preferably, the positive acknowledge includes the transmission of a specific coded value containing sufficient redundancy to allow it to be recovered

20   in the presence of received errors. Similarly the negative acknowledge includes the transmission of a specific coded value containing sufficient redundancy to allow it to be recovered in the presence of received errors.

According to a second aspect of the present invention, there is provided a radio

25   communications system including a transceiver/transmitter and at least two transceiver/receivers, wherein the transceiver/transmitter transmits data in a first time slot to the transceiver/receivers, and wherein upon receipt of data, each of transceiver/receivers returns either a first acknowledgement state in a second time slot, after the first time slot, or a second acknowledgement state in a third time slot  
30   after the second time slot.

Preferably, the first acknowledgment state is a positive acknowledge, and the second acknowledgment state is a negative acknowledge.

- 5 Preferably, the first time slot is variable in length and the second and third time slots are fixed in length.

Preferably, upon each transceiver/receiver detecting a correctly coded transmission in the negative acknowledge time slot, each transceiver/receiver discards the data

- 10 previously received in the first time slot, and the transceiver/transmitter retransmits the data to each of the transceiver/receivers.

According to a third aspect of the present invention, there is provided a transceiver/receiver for use in a radio communications system including at least one

- 15 transceiver/transmitter and at least one other transceiver/receiver, in use, the transceiver/receiver upon receiving a data packet in a first time slot from transceiver/transmitter, either transmits a first acknowledgment state in a second time slot, after the first time slot, or transmits a second acknowledgment state in a third time slot, after the second time slot.

- 20 Preferably, the transceiver/receiver further receives the first acknowledgment state in the second time slot from the at least one other transceiver/receiver in the communication system or receives the second acknowledgment state in the third time slot from the at least one other transceiver/receiver in the communication  
25 system.

Preferably, the first acknowledgment state is a positive acknowledge, and the second acknowledgment state is a negative acknowledge.

Upon receiving a negative acknowledge from the at least one other transceiver/receiver, the transceiver/receiver discards the data packet received in the first time slot.

- 5 According to a fourth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a transceiver/transmitter for use in a communications system including at least one other transceiver/receiver, wherein in use, the transceiver/transmitter transmits a data packet in a first time slot to the at least one transceiver/receiver and receives either a first acknowledge state in a second time slot, after the first time slot from one  
10 or more of the transceiver/receivers or receives a second acknowledgment state in a third time slot after the second time slot from at least one of the transceiver/receivers.

According to a fifth aspect of the present invention, there is provided in a wireless  
15 network including a transceiver/transmitter and at least two transceivers/receivers, a method of disseminating data to be shared by the at least two transceiver/receivers, the method including:

- Transmitting from the transceiver/transmitter, the data to the at least two transceiver/receivers;
- 20 Upon unsuccessfully receiving the data at at least one of the at least two transceiver/receivers, transmitting negative acknowledge data to indicate unsuccessful receipt of the data;  
Retransmitting the data from the transceiver/transmitter; and  
Replacing the data received by the plurality of transceiver/receivers with the  
25 retransmitted data.

According to a sixth aspect of the present invention, there is provided a method of providing a marker in a data time frame, the method including:  
encoding data bits at a particular point in a data sequence to provide states;  
30 generating a state combination that is an illegal combination;

recognising that illegal combination as a marker.

Preferably, upon receiving a negative acknowledge, the transceiver/transmitter retransmits the data to the at least one transceiver/receivers.

5

The system and protocol of the present invention has many uses including applications in controlling domestic, industrial and office appliances.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

- 10 Figure 1 shows one network architecture according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention;
- Figure 2 shows the network protocol model used in the environment of the present invention;
- 15 Figure 3 shows a frame structure according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention;
- Figure 4 shows a preferred structure of a transceiver device used on the present invention; and
- Figure 5 shows parts of the ISO 7 layer model in which certain functions of the transceiver device of Figure 4 are performed.

20

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

An exemplary architecture of a network is shown in Figure 1, in which the network

10 is made up of nodes 1, 2 and 3. Nodes 1, 2 and 3 are transceiving devices and may

act as transmitters and/or receivers in a given communication Transaction. The

25 network 10 may communicate with other networks 20, via gateway 11.

The protocol design of the present invention is based on the ISO seven layer model and some terminology is common with that used by ISO. The protocol used in the present invention is connectionless, meaning that once a single data transfer has

taken place, there is no expectation of additional related data transfers either before or after.

- The protocol model of the preferred embodiment is based on the ISO seven layer  
5 model and is shown in Figure 2. For applications distributed over two nodes, each protocol layer has a virtual connection to the equivalent layer in the other node. As can be seen, each layer takes data provided by the layer above, treats it as a data unit and adds its own protocol control information (PCI) field. At each layer, the protocol data unit (PDU) is either the data, or a package provided by the next higher layer.  
10 The name of the PDU is prefixed by the layer to which it applies (For example, SPDU is a session PDU).

The physical layer relates to the mechanical and electrical network interface in the ISO system. In the system of the present invention, the physical layer refers to the  
15 hardware and firmware elements used to transmit and receive bits over the communication medium.

In the ISO system, the link layer is used for data link control (for example, framing, data transparency, error control). In the present invention, the link layer is used to  
20 break bytes up into bits, bit stuffing (if required), framing, collision detection, prioritisation, error detection, positive/negative acknowledge generation, checking and retransmission.

The network layer in the ISO system is used for networking routing, addressing, call  
25 set-up and clearing while in the present invention, the network layer is used for network routing, addressing, Transaction set-up and clear.

In the ISO system, the transport layer is used for end to end message transfer, connection management, error control, fragmentation and flow control. The  
30 transport layer is not used in the environment of the present invention.

The session layer in the ISO system is used for dialogue and synchronisation control for application entities but is not used in the environment of the present invention.

- 5 The presentation layer is used for transfer syntax negotiation, and data representation transformations in the ISO system while in the environment of the present invention, the presentation layer is used for optional encryption of application data.
- 10 The application layer in the ISO system is used for file transfer, access management, document and message interchange, job transfer and manipulation while in the environment of the present invention, the application layer supports sending and receiving application data.
- 15 Finally, the user application layer is used both in the ISO and the environment of the present invention for whatever is needed to achieve a specified function or behaviour.

It is predominantly in the link layer that the features of the present invention reside.

- 20 In the protocol of the present invention, use can optionally be made of a dominant bit, and an inferior bit. If two devices simultaneously transmit a dominant and an inferior bit, then receivers and transmitters (monitoring their own transmissions) will detect only the dominant bit.
- 25 Media access is obtained by a transmitter first monitoring the media, and if no existing transmission is detected, the transmitter will try to claim media access by transmitting a preamble stream. This preamble starts with at least one detectable bit. The claim for media access defines the start of a Transaction. A Transaction consists  
30 of all data transfer, acknowledgement and repeating of data. All nodes in a network

must monitor the media continually and if they detect a Transaction occurring they will defer any attempt to claim media access until the completion of the current Transaction.

- 5 Transactions are asynchronous, that is, they can occur at any time and the time difference from the start of one Transaction to the next does not have to be an integral number of bit periods.

In this application, a Transaction is specifically defined as a continuous period of  
10 time broken up into several sub-time slots containing different types of data. A Transaction will begin with a preamble for a set period of time, followed by the specific data which is to be transmitted from a transceiver/transmitter to two or more transceiver/receivers. The timeslot during which the data is transmitted is variable in length, and includes a portion used as a frame check sequence. Following  
15 the data transmission is a repeat timeslot tag (details of which will not be described as they are not relevant to the present invention) followed by a timeslot during which positive acknowledgement can be transmitted by the transceiver/receivers, followed by a timeslot during which negative acknowledgement is transmitted by the transceiver/receivers. The structure of this frame is shown in Figure 3.

20 As described above, a Transaction is asynchronous and can start at any time. However, once started, the Transaction has a time-based structure. Special markers in the Transaction are used to show the beginning and end of the data portion. This will be discussed in more detail later. The time slots during which positive and  
25 negative acknowledgement are transmitted, are fixed in time. By coding and redundancy of data encoded into these timeslots, a positive acknowledgement by one or more transceiver/receivers and a negative acknowledgement by one or more transceiver/receivers can be conveyed. All of the devices involved in the Transaction see both of the acknowledgment timeslots.

Once data transmitted by the transceiver / transmitter (for example node 1 in Figure 1) is received successfully by the transceiver/receivers (nodes 2 and 3), nodes 2 and 3 will acknowledge successful receipt.

- 5 If data from a Transaction is received with error by a transceiver/receiver, then that transceiver/receiver will transmit a negative acknowledge.

Transceiver/receivers wishing to positively acknowledge, will transmit a special code during the positive acknowledge timeslot and during the negative acknowledge

- 10 timeslot will either receive (if dominant bit transmission is not used) or transmit inferior bits (if dominant / inferior bits are used).

Similarly, transceiver/receivers wishing to negatively acknowledge will either transmit inferior bits (if dominant / inferior bits are used) or receive (if dominant bit

- 15 transmission is not used)-during the positive acknowledge timeslot and transmit a special code during the negative acknowledge timeslot.

The fact that the devices monitor the timeslots during which they are not transmitting, ensures that by the end of two timeslots each device has detected either

- 20 positive acknowledges, negative acknowledges or both and can therefore determine the overall acknowledge state of the network.

For example, the transceiver/receiver which transmits a positive acknowledge will be able to detect some other transceiver/receiver which transmits a negative

- 25 acknowledge. For the case where dominant bits are used, this is because the transceiver/receiver positive acknowledging will attempt to transmit inferior bits during the negative acknowledge timeslot but will detect dominant bits due to the other transceiver/receiver which is simultaneously transmitting an appropriate code during the negative acknowledge timeslot. For the case where dominant bits are not used, the transceiver/receiver positive acknowledging will receive during the

negative acknowledge timeslot and detect any bits due to the other transceiver/receiver which is transmitting an appropriate code during the negative acknowledge timeslot. The reverse case applies for the transceiver/receiver transmitting a negative acknowledge.

5

At the end of the Transaction, all devices do not know how many positive or negative acknowledges there were, all they have to know is that there were some positive and some negative.

- 10 If there were any negative acknowledges at all during the Transaction, then all of the transceiver/receivers know this, and can discard the data received. Similarly, the transceiver/transmitter knows this and can attempt to re-run the Transaction.

- 15 The generation of a positive acknowledge will be as follows. Upon receiving data, a node will generate a positive acknowledge only when:

- the data timeslot has been checked against its embedded frame check sequence and found to be valid; and
- any addressing information present in the data timeslot matches an addressing information used by the device.

- 20 Each device transceiver generally contains at least two different types of address, as follows:

- A Unit Address, allowing the device to be uniquely addressed in isolation; and
- A Multicast address, allowing those devices in a network to be addressed simultaneously for the purpose of updating shared network variables.

25 In addition, devices can also optionally contain:

- A Network Address, allowing physical devices to be grouped by the logical network to which they are allocated.

Other variations are possible, but these three address types are used as the basis for other more sophisticated addressing schemes.

- Use of the positive acknowledge is useful as it provides an additional certainty to the functioning of the network. If for example, the transceiver/transmitter does not receive a positive acknowledge, from any device, and then does not receive a negative acknowledge from any device, there is no certainty that any device received the transmission.
- 5
- 10 It will be appreciated however, that the positive acknowledge is not essential to the broadest aspect of the present invention. Furthermore, the positive acknowledge could appear after the negative acknowledge.

- The processes involved in generation of a negative acknowledge are as follows. A receiving device (transceiver/receiver) will generate a negative acknowledge only if the data timeslot is determined to be corrupted, by checking the received data using the embedded frame check sequence.
- 15

- Where a device determines data timeslot corruption, there is no point further examining any fields inside the data timeslot.
- 20

- Acceptance of the data transmitted by the transceiver/transmitter is only made by the transceiver/receivers if the condition for generation of a positive acknowledge are met and if no other transceiver/receiver has generated a negative acknowledge.
- 25 This ensures that all transceiver/receivers receive a given message only once. For point-multipoint messages, this may mean that a message is discarded by a transceiver/receiver, even if it appears valid and was positively acknowledged.

- In practice, it is beneficial to construct all devices in the network in the same way.
- 30 This means that each device (generally referred to as a "transceiver"), whether it acts

as a transceiver/transmitter or transceiver/receiver, will be constructed in the same way and can be separately enabled to perform the desired function. This provides significant savings in the complexity and cost of manufacture since only one type of device need be designed and manufactured.

5

The preferred implementation of the transceiver device 100 uses a radio receiver, a radio transmitter, and a microprocessor. These first two items can optionally be combined as a transmitter/receiver 120, as shown in Figure 4, which shows device 100 including microprocessor 110 and transmitter/receiver 120.

- 10 Transmitter/receiver 120 transmits and receives data via antenna 130.

It will be appreciated that use of a microprocessor is not mandatory. For example the protocol could be implemented in a dedicated integrated circuit, a programmable logic device or a programmable gate array. Using a microprocessor is convenient

- 15 because it allows a readily modifiable software implementation, and reduces the overall parts count. However the software implementation is only suitable for low to moderate data rates.

The function of the transmitter/receiver 120 is to receive or transmit information.

- 20 The choice of transmitter/receiver will be determined by a range of factors, including (but not limited to):

- a. The regulatory environment of the market into which the product will be sold.

25

Each country has regulations that determine factors including allowable frequencies, transmit power levels and bandwidth.

- 30 Transmitter/receivers suitable for use in some countries may be illegal in other countries.

For products with a broad sales appeal in a range of countries, it is possible that several different transmitter/receivers will need to be selected, appropriate to each country.

- 5        b. Power consumption, in conjunction with any other considerations that determine the amount of power available.

For example, transmitter/receivers with a high power consumption may be unsuitable for battery operation.

10

- c. Time for the transmitter/receiver to switch between receive and transmit modes.

In the communication protocol of the present invention, the time to switch between receive and transmit is important, because the protocol includes a set of fixed time slots. Depending on the overall transaction being performed, a time slot may need to be received or transmitted.

20

The time to switching between receive and transmit constitutes an overhead (dead time). Large switching times have the effect of wasting bandwidth.

- d. Interface type.

25

Many types of transmitter/receiver are available. A type that provides digital data input and output gives the simplest interface with the microprocessor.

- e. Data rate.

30

The transmitter/receiver needs to support a data rate appropriate to the overall product requirements. This data rate could be anywhere between extremely low or extremely high.

- 5           f. Physical size, and the amount of space available.
- g. Cost.
- h. Amount of design effort.

10

At the very least, the transmitter/receiver needs:

- a. A Transmit data input, used by the microprocessor to place a communication state onto the wireless medium;
- 15           b. A Receive data output, used by the transmitter/receiver to indicate to the microprocessor the state of the wireless medium; and
- c. A control input, used by the microprocessor to select a receive or  
20           transmit mode of operation of the transmitter/receiver.

Control inputs can range between very simple, and very complex. At the simplest extreme, it is used to select between receive and transmit. Some transmitter/receivers support a low power "sleep" mode. Others allow complex setup and configuration to be made for transmitter/receiver operating behaviour.

25           For the protocol described, the type of control input is not critical.

Some suitable transmitter/receivers include RFM ASH series TR1000 to TR3100,  
30           Chipcon CC1000 and Nordic NRF401, NRF403.

The microprocessor 110 is used to implement the communication protocol, using the transmitter/receiver as the means of placing communication states onto the wireless medium, and receiving communication states from the wireless medium.

5

The type and choice of microprocessor is not very critical, provided that it can perform operations with precise timing. The degree of precision only needs to be enough to avoid creating bit errors in the communication protocol.

- 10     The protocol is best implemented in a bit-oriented manner, because this allows the point at which the time slots begin to be easily recognised.

The microprocessor is responsible for implementing at least some of the following functions:

- 15       a.    A data encoding and decoding scheme used for transmission and reception - for example, Manchester coding;

- b.    Recovery in the receiver of the transmitted clock - for example by synchronising onto a preamble;

- 20       c.    Detection of collisions;

- d.    Creation of each time slot, and appropriate transmission or reception during the time slot to exchange the relevant acknowledge information;

- 25       e.    Implementation of an error detection scheme that can be used by a receiving device to determine if a transmission is received with or without error; and

- f. Implementation of an error correction scheme that can be used by a receiving device to correct for some number of received errors during a transmission.
- 5 As previously described, a common method for describing the functions used in communication protocols is the ISO 7 layer model. Whilst a software structure based on this model is not mandatory, its use simplifies the overall design. Using this model, the functions performed in the bottom few layers are shown in Figure 5.
- 10 The microprocessor hardware provides the electrical interface (Physical layer).

The microprocessor software performs the functions of all higher layers.

- In particular, the software MAC-B portion of the Link Layer is responsible for all  
15 time - critical functions of data transmission and reception, including at least some of the following:
- a. Starting a new transmission (including generation of any preamble);
  - b. Transmitting data bits;
  - c. Transmitting frame markers;
  - d. Starting reception;
  - e. Synchronisation onto the transmitted data stream and clock recovery;
  - f. Reception and decoding of data bits;
  - g. Reception and decoding of frame markers;

- h. Notification of a collision;
  - i. Starting each time slot; and
- 5           j. Transmission or reception of data bits inside a time slot.

The software MAC-F portion of the Link Layer is not so time critical. It is responsible for higher level message-oriented processing, including at least some of:

- a. Construction of a packet from received data bits;
- b. Packet error checking;
- c. Determination of when to acknowledge, and the type of acknowledgement to be generated (using the time slot services of MAC-B);
- d. Based on packet structure, scheduling and operation of a repeated transmission;
- e. Starting the transmission of a new packet;
- f. Generation of packet error-check sequences;
- 20           g. Transmission of a packet, bit at a time;
- 25           h. Examination of acknowledgements, and collisions, and determination of whether a packet should be re-transmitted and when that re-transmission should occur.

Many different microprocessors are available. Some have special hardware functions available which remove some of the processor load for time critical functions, such as generation of time intervals, generation of pulses, and so on. Whilst these hardware functions are not mandatory, their use greatly simplifies the software design and 5 coding. Some microprocessors that are suitable for use in this invention include the Texas Instruments MSP430 family, Atmel Atmega family and the Hitachi H8/3644 family.

As previously mentioned, and according to a further aspect of the present invention, 10 special markers are used in the Transaction to show the beginning and end of the variable-length data portion in the Transaction frame.

In the protocol of the present invention, it is important to fix in time the end of the variable portion, because this forms a reference point that defines when the fixed 15 time slots can begin (for acknowledge transmissions). According to this aspect of the invention, use is made of the concept of encoding data to provide "states".

It is desirable for communication media to require some form of balanced transmission to avoid accumulation of a dc offset. This balance requires that the 20 number of ON and OFF states on the medium be equal, when considered over a moderate to long time period.

There are a number of encoding schemes that can be used to translate the data bits into states on the medium. These vary in terms of the bandwidth they consume on 25 the medium, and the ease of recovery of the transmitted data in a receiver.

One of the most common is Manchester coding. This coding uses two states on the medium for each data bit, and has a simple process for data recovery in the receiver. This codes a 1 bit as the state pair (OFF, ON), and a 0 bit as the state pair (ON, OFF).

The Manchester code always has a state transition (OFF to ON, or ON to OFF) in the middle of each data bit which greatly simplifies the process in the receiver of data recovery and synchronisation onto the transmitter clock.

- 5 In the Manchester code, the state pairs (OFF, OFF and ON, ON) are not permitted.

The Manchester code can be exploited, so that the illegal state pairs are used to convey information about points of significance.

- 10 The exact choice of the illegal state sequence is not very important, so long as it is used consistently. Preferably, the dc balance of the Manchester coding should be preserved.

- 15 A suitable coding to represent the end of the variable portion of the transmission is to use a simple illegal sequence: (ON, ON, OFF, OFF). This preserves the dc balance, and can be easily recognised by the Manchester decoder.

If additional information needs to be conveyed, this sequence can be used as a "lead-in". So, for example, other possible sequences might be:

20

(ON, ON, OFF, OFF, ON, OFF) = first point of significance

(ON, ON, OFF, OFF, OFF, ON) = second point of significance

- 25 When the methods and advantages are considered together, the preferred protocol implementation is bit-oriented, synchronous, and exploits illegal coding to denote points of significance in the variable part.

- 30 This has the advantage of providing a high level of time-based precision in finding the end of the variable portion, is relatively easy to implement, removes any reliance on characterisation of UARTs, and does not need escape sequences or bit-stuffing.

Furthermore, the high time-based precision in finding the end of the variable portion also creates a high level of precision in determining the start of the fixed time slots that follow. An example of the use of a start marker (12) and an End Marker (13) is shown in Figure 3

5

The fixed time slots are easily transmitted, simply by counting the transmitted states or bits. The received time slots require a Manchester decoder (without the need to support the illegal states), and a timer in the case where nothing is transmitted during the period of the received time slot.

10

It will be understood that the above has been described with reference to a preferred embodiment and that many variations and modifications may be made within the scope of the present invention.

**CLAIMS:**

1. A communications protocol for use in a network of devices, the protocol having a frame including a first time slot for transmitting data, a second time slot, after the first time slot, for transmitting a first acknowledgement state, and a third time slot, after the second time slot, for transmitting a second acknowledgement state.
2. A communications protocol according to claim 1 wherein the first acknowledgement state is a positive acknowledge and the second acknowledgement state is a negative acknowledge.
3. A communications protocol according to claim 2 wherein the first time slot is variable in length and the second and third time slots are fixed in length.
4. A communications protocol according to claim 2 wherein the positive acknowledge includes the transmission of a specific coded value containing sufficient redundancy to allow it to be recovered in the presence of received errors and the negative acknowledge includes the transmission of a specific coded value containing sufficient redundancy to allow it to be recovered in the presence of received errors.
5. A radio communication system including a transceiver/transmitter and at least two transceiver/receivers, wherein the transceiver/transmitter transmits data in a first time slot to the transceiver/receivers and wherein upon receipt of the data, each of the transceiver/receivers return either a first acknowledgement state in a second time slot, after the first time slot, or a second acknowledgement state in a third time slot after the second time slot.

6. A radio communication system according to claim 1 wherein the first acknowledgement state is a positive acknowledge and the second acknowledgement state is a negative acknowledge.
- 5 7. A radio communications system according to claim 6 wherein the first time slot is variable in length and the second and third time slots are fixed in length.
- 10 8. A radio communication system according to claim 7 wherein each transceiver monitors the transmission medium during any time slots during which each respective transceiver is not transmitting.
- 15 9. A radio communication system according to claim 8 wherein upon each transceiver/receiver detecting a correctly coded transmission in the negative acknowledge time slot, each transceiver/receiver discards the data previously received in the first time slot.
10. A radio communication system according to claim 9 wherein upon detecting a correctly coded transmission in the negative acknowledge time slot, the transceiver/transmitter retransmits the data to each of the transceiver/receivers.
11. A transceiver/receiver for use in a radio communication system including at least one transceiver/transmitter and at least one other transceiver/receiver, in use, the transceiver/receiver upon receiving a data packet in a first time slot from the transceiver/transmitter either transmits a first acknowledgement state in a second time slot, after the first time slot, or transmits a second acknowledgement state in a third time slot, after the second time slot.

12. A transceiver/receiver according to claim 11 wherein the transceiver/receiver further receives the first acknowledgement state in the second time slot from the at least one other transceiver/receiver in the communication system or receives the second acknowledgement state in the third time slot from the at least one other transceiver/receiver in the communication system.  
5
13. A transceiver/receiver according to claim 12 wherein the first acknowledgement state is a positive acknowledge, and the second acknowledgement state is a negative acknowledge.  
10
14. A transceiver/receiver according to claim 13 wherein the transceiver/receiver monitors the communications medium during a time slot during which the transceiver/receiver is not transmitting.
15. A transceiver/receiver according to claim 11 wherein upon receiving a negative acknowledge from the at least one other transceiver/receiver, the transceiver/receiver discards the data packet received in the first time slot.  
15
16. A transceiver/receiver according to claim 15 wherein the discarded data is replaced with data retransmitted by the transceiver/transmitter.  
20
17. A transceiver/transmitter for use in a communication system including at least one other transceiver/receiver, wherein in use, the transceiver/receiver transmits a data packet in a first time slot to the at least one transceiver/receiver and receives either a first acknowledge state in a second time slot after the first time slot from one or more of the transceiver/receivers or receives a second acknowledgement state in a third time slot after the second time slot from at least one of the transceiver/receivers.  
25

18. A transceiver/transmitter according to claim 17 wherein the first acknowledgement state is a positive acknowledge and the second acknowledgement state is a negative acknowledge.
- 5 19. A transceiver/transmitter according to claim 18 wherein the transceiver/transmitter monitors the communications medium during a time slot during which the transceiver/transmitter is not transmitting.
- 10 20. A transceiver/transmitter according to claim 19 wherein upon receiving a negative acknowledge, the transceiver/transmitter retransmits the data to the at least one transceiver/receiver.
- 15 21. In a wireless network including a transceiver/transmitter and at least two transceivers/receivers, a method of disseminating data to be shared by the at least two transceiver/receivers, the method including:
  - Transmitting from the transceiver/transmitter, the data to the at least two transceiver/receivers;
  - Upon unsuccessfully receiving the data at at least one of the at least two transceiver/receivers, transmitting negative acknowledge data to indicate unsuccessful receipt of the data;
  - Retransmitting the data from the transceiver/transmitter; and
  - Replacing the data received by the plurality of transceiver/receivers with the retransmitted data.
- 25 22. A method according to claim 20 wherein the negative acknowledge data is also received by the transceiver/transmitter.
23. A method according to claim 21 wherein upon receiving the negative acknowledge, the other transceiver/receivers discard the data received from the transceiver/transmitter before receiving the retransmitted data.

24. A method according to claim 21 wherein the step of transmitting data is done in a first time slot, the step of transmitting the negative acknowledge is done in a second time slot and the step of retransmitting is done in a third time slot.

5 25. A method according to claim 23 wherein upon successful receipt of data, the transceiver/receiver transmits a positive acknowledge.

10 26. A method according to claim 25 wherein the step of transmitting the positive acknowledge is done in an additional time slot between the first and the second time slot.




15 27. A method of providing a marker in a data time frame, the method including:  
encoding data bits at a particular point in a data sequence to provide states;

20 generating a state combination that is an illegal combination;  
recognising that illegal combination as a marker.



28. A method according to claim 27 wherein the encoding used is Manchester coding.

29. A method according to claim 27 wherein a dc balance in the transmission is preserved.

30. A method according to claim 28 wherein the illegal combination commences 25 with a state pair of OFF, OFF, or ON, ON.

**Fig 1****Fig 2****Fig 3**

2/2

**Fig 4****Fig 5**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001053

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

Int. Cl. ? H04L 1/16, 12/56; H03M 5/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)  
WPAT, ESP@CE, USPTO, INTERNET, Keywords (network, protocol, wireless, packet, acknowledgment, broadcast) and similar terms.

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, X	WO 2004/034310 A2 (COLDER PRODUCTS COMPANY) 22 April 2004 See whole document in particular pages 3 - 8	27 - 30
P, X	US 2003/0227934 A1 (WHITE et al.) 11 December 2003 See whole document in particular paragraphs 29 – 33 and 37	1 – 8, 11 – 14, 17 – 22, 24 – 26
X	US 6574668 B1 (GUBBI et al.) 3 June 2003 See whole document in particular abstract, fig 3 and columns 7 - 9	1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13 – 26
X	WO 2001/078426 A1 (PROXIM, INC. et al.) 18 October 2001 See whole document in particular fig 1B and pages 3 and 4	1, 5, 11, 12, 17, 21

Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C       See patent family annex

* Special categories of cited documents:	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"&" document member of the same patent family
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search 12 October 2004	Date of mailing of the international search report 15 OCT 2004
--	---

Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU <b>AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE</b> PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	Authorized officer <b>BEN TUOHY</b> Telephone No : (02) 6283 7918
--	---

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001053

### Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2.  Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
  
3.  Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)

### Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

See supplemental box for details.

1.  As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2.  As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3.  As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
  
4.  No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

### Remark on Protest

- The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.  
 No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/001053

### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

#### Continuation of Box No: III

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are two inventions:

1. Claims 1 - 26 are directed to a communications protocol for use in a network of devices, the protocol having a frame including a first time slot for transmitting data, a second time slot, after the first time slot, for transmitting a first acknowledge state, and a third time slot, after the second time slot, for transmitting a second acknowledge state. It is considered that the protocol having a frame including a first time slot for transmitting data, a second time slot, after the first time slot, for transmitting a first acknowledge state, and a third time slot, after the second time slot, for transmitting a second acknowledge state comprises a first "special technical feature".
2. Claims 27 - 30 are directed to a method of providing a marker in a data frame, the method including encoding data bits at a particular point in a data sequence to provide states, generating a state combination that is an illegal combination and recognising that illegal combination as a marker. It is considered that encoding data bits at a particular point in a data sequence to provide states, generating a state combination that is an illegal combination and recognising that illegal combination as a marker comprises a second "special technical feature".

Since the above mentioned groups of claims do not share either of the technical features identified, a "technical relationship" between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the international application does not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**

International application No.  
**PCT/AU2004/001053**

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report		Patent Family Member			
WO	04034310				
US	2003227934	WO	03105353		
US	6574668	AU	29544/01	EP	1269675
WO	0178426	AU	53331/01	EP	1277359
				WO	0156219
				US	2001055312

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

**END OF ANNEX**